arXiv:1410.1521v1 [math.GR] 4 Oct 2014

LOCALLY FINITE GROUPS CONTAINING A 2-ELEMENT WITH CHERNIKOV CENTRALIZER

E. I. KHUKHRO, N. YU. MAKARENKO, AND P. SHUMYATSKY

Abstract. Suppose that a locally finite group G has a 2-element g with Chernikov centralizer. It is proved that if the involution in $\langle g\rangle$ has nilpotent centralizer, then G has a soluble subgroup of finite index.

to the memory of Brian Hartley (1939–1994)

1. Introduction

Studying groups with restrictions on centralizers is one of the main avenues of group theory. One of the well-known problems in this area is studying locally finite groups G containing an element g with Chernikov centralizer $C_G(g)$. A Chernikov group is a finite extension of a direct product of finitely many quasicyclic p-groups C_{p^∞} for various primes p. (Recall that Chernikov groups are precisely the locally finite groups with minimal condition on subgroups, as proved independently by Kegel and Wehrfritz [8] and Shunkov [23].) Hartley [3] proved that if g is of prime-power order, then G has a locally soluble subgroup of finite index. Earlier the case where g has order 2 was handled by Asar [1], and the case of g of arbitrary prime order by Turau [26]. Both Hartley's and Turau's works depend on the classification of finite simple groups.

Hartley posed two problems in [3]. One problem is whether the above results can be extended to arbitrary order of g — and so far there have been no advances in this direction. Another problem is whether one can replace "locally soluble" by "soluble" in such results. This problem was solved in the positive for an element of prime order and order 4 in [20] and [21], respectively.

In view of the difficulty of the above problems, it makes sense to study them under certain additional conditions. In the present paper we extend the result of [21] for elements of order 2^n. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that a locally finite group G contains an element g of order 2^n with Chernikov centralizer and the centralizer of the involution $g^{2^{n-1}}$ is nilpotent. Then G has a soluble subgroup of finite index.*

A special case of a Chernikov group is a finite group, and the results on Chernikov centralizers often use the corresponding results on finite centralizers. The proof of Theorem 1.1 also uses our recent result of that kind, which we state for further references.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F50; secondary 20E34, 20F16.

Key words and phrases. Locally finite group, centralizer, Chernikov group, soluble group, derived length, automorphism.

This work was supported by CNPq-Brazil. The first author thanks CNPq-Brazil and the University of Brasilia for support and hospitality that he enjoyed during his visits to Brasilia.

The second author was supported by the Russian Science Foundation, project no. 14-21-00065.

We use abbreviation, say, "$(a, b, \dots)$-bounded" for "bounded above in terms of $a, b, \dots$ only".

Theorem 1.2 ([13, Corollary 1.3])**.** *Suppose that a locally finite group G contains an element g of order 2^n with finite centralizer of order $m = |C_G(\varphi)|$ such that the centralizer of the involution $g^{2^{n-1}}$ is nilpotent of class c. Then G has a soluble characteristic subgroup of finite (m, n, c)-bounded index that has (n, c)-bounded derived length.*

The proof in [13] is mainly about nilpotent groups; reduction to soluble groups was provided earlier by Hartley's 'generalized Brauer–Fowler theorem' in [4] based on the classification, and reduction to nilpotent groups by the Hartley–Turau theorem [6]. The useful property that the subgroup can be chosen to be characteristic follows from [12].

Theorem 1.2 in turn is a strengthening of Theorem 1.10 in [22], which under the same hypotheses was giving a soluble subgroup of bounded index whose derived length was bounded in terms of m, n, c. It is elimination of the dependence of the derived length on m in Theorem 1.2 that made possible its application in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Similarly, the aforementioned theorems in [20, 21] on locally finite groups containing an element of prime order p or of order 4 with Chernikov centralizer used the results with 'strong' bounds on finite groups containing an element of order p or 4 with finite centralizer. Namely, in the case of finite centralizer such a group contains a subgroup of bounded index that is nilpotent (or soluble) of nilpotency class (or derived length) bounded in terms of the order of the element only. This was proved for nilpotent groups in [10, 11, 18, 19] generalizing works on fixed-point-free automorphisms [7, 14, 15, 16], and the reduction to nilpotent groups followed from [2, 5, 6, 24].

2. Preliminaries

Given a group G and subsets $X, Y \subseteq G$, we denote by $[X, Y]$ the subgroup generated by all commutators $[x, y]$, where $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. It is well-known that if $X, Y \leqslant G$ are subgroups, then $[X, Y]$ is a normal subgroup of $\langle X, Y\rangle$. Furthermore, if X is a subgroup and a is an element normalizing X, then $[X, a] = [X, \langle a\rangle]$ is also a normal subgroup of $\langle X, a\rangle$. We denote $[[X, Y], Y]$ by $[X, Y, Y]$.

When a group A acts on a group G by automorphisms (not necessarily faithfully), we regard G and A as subgroups of the semidirect product GA. In accordance with the above notation, $[G, A]$ is the subgroup of G generated by all elements of the form $g^{-1}g^a$, where $g \in G$ and $a \in A$. Then $[G, A]$ is always an A-invariant normal subgroup of G. The following lemma will be very helpful. The proof can be easily deduced from the (well-known) corresponding facts about coprime actions of finite groups.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be a finite π-group of automorphisms of a locally finite group G. If N is an A-invariant normal π'-subgroup of G, then $C_{G/N}(A) = C_G(A)N/N$.*

For a group G let $F(G)$ denote the Hirsch–Plotkin radical of G, which is the largest locally nilpotent normal subgroup of G. We define $F_0(G) = 1$ and let $F_{i+1}(G)$ be the full inverse image of $F(G/F_i(G))$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots$. The group G is said to be of finite Hirsch–Plotkin height m if $G = F_m(G)$ for some integer m and m is the least such integer. In this case we write $h(G) = m$. Of course, if G is a finite group, then the Hirsch–Plotkin radical $F(G)$ is just the Fitting subgroup of G. There are many results bounding the Fitting height of a finite soluble group. In particular, we will use a special case of the classical result of Thompson [25] that if G is a finite soluble group admitting a soluble

group of automorphisms A of coprime order, then $h(G)$ is bounded in terms of $h(C_G(A))$ and the number of prime factors in $|A|$ only. (Numerous subsequent papers improved the bounds, first linear ones were obtained by Kurzweil [17], and best-possible by Turull [27]). The standard inverse limit argument as in [9, p. 54] extends this result to periodic locally soluble groups G having no $|A|$-torsion. In fact, we only need a special case of this theorem when A is cyclic, stated as the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *If a locally finite $2'$-group G admits an automorphism φ of order 2^n such that $C_G(\varphi)$ is Chernikov, then G has finite Hirsch–Plotkin height.*

A locally finite group G is said to satisfy min-p if every non-empty family of p-subgroups of G partially ordered by inclusion possesses a minimal member. We will use the following helpful proposition [9, Corollary 3.2].

Proposition 2.3. *If a locally finite group G contains elements of order p, then G satisfies min-p if and only if there is a p-element $g \in G$ such that $C_G(g)$ satisfies min-p.*

Given a locally finite group G, we denote by $O_{p'}(G)$ the largest normal p'-subgroup of G. Theorem 3.17 in [9] tells us that if G is a periodic locally soluble group satisfying min-p, then $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is Chernikov. Together with Proposition 2.3, this implies the following.

Proposition 2.4. *If G is a periodic locally soluble group admitting an automorphism of finite 2-power order with Chernikov centralizer, then $G/O_{2'}(G)$ is a Chernikov group.*

Let C be a Chernikov group. A subgroup D of finite index in C that is a direct product of finitely many groups of type C_{p^∞} (possibly for various primes p) is of course unique in C. Let $|C : D| = i$ and let D be a direct product of precisely j groups of type C_{p^∞}.

Definition. We call the ordered pair (j, i) the *size* of C.

The set of all pairs (j, i) is endowed with the lexicographic order. It is easy to check that if H is a proper subgroup of C, then the size of H is necessarily strictly smaller than the size of C. Also, if N is an *infinite* normal subgroup of C, then the size of C/N is strictly smaller than that of C. (Note, however, that if N is finite, then the size of C/N may be equal to that of C.) We shall use these properties of size of Chernikov subgroups without special references.

3. Proof of the main theorem

We are now ready to prove our main result, which we restate here in terms of automorphisms.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a locally finite group admitting an automorphism φ of order 2^n such that $C_G(\varphi)$ is Chernikov and $C_G(\varphi^{2^{n-1}})$ is nilpotent. Then G has a soluble subgroup of finite index.*

Proof. The group G has a locally soluble subgroup of finite index by Hartley's theorem [3, Theorem 4], so without loss of generality we can assume that G is locally soluble. Then $G/O_{2'}(G)$ is a Chernikov group by Proposition 2.4, so we can assume that G is a $2'$-group. Then the group G has finite Hirsch–Plotkin height by Proposition 2.2. Since $C_G(\varphi)$ covers the centralizers of the induced automorphism φ in every invariant section by Lemma 2.1, it follows that if the theorem is false, then a counter-example to the theorem

can be found among locally nilpotent sections of G. Therefore without loss of generality we can additionally assume that G is locally nilpotent.

Thus, arguing by contradiction we choose a locally nilpotent group G that is a counter-example to the theorem such that the size of $C = C_G(\varphi)$ is as small as possible. In view of Theorem 1.2 it is clear that C is infinite. Let $G^{(i)}$ denote the ith term of the derived series of G. Each one of the groups $G^{(i)}$ provides a counter-example to the theorem. Indeed, if $G^{(i)}$ has a soluble subgroup of finite index, then $G^{(i)}$ itself is soluble since G is locally nilpotent. This gives solubility of G, a contradiction. Since the size of C is as small as possible, it follows that $C \leqslant G^{(i)}$ for each i, that is, C is contained in the intersection of the $G^{(i)}$. According to Theorem 1.10 in [22] (or Theorem 1.2), there exists k such that whenever φ acts fixed-point-freely on the quotient G/N by a normal φ-invariant subgroup N, the derived length of G/N is at most k. On the other hand, Lemma 2.1 shows that φ acts fixed-point-freely on each quotient $G/G^{(i)}$. It follows that $G^{(k)} = G^{(k+1)}$. Thus, considering $G^{(k)}$ in place of G we can make the additional assumption that

(1) $G = G'$.

Next, we can assume that the following holds.

(2) If N is a proper normal φ-invariant subgroup of G, then the centralizer $C_N(\varphi)$ is finite.

Indeed, suppose that $C_N(\varphi)$ is infinite. Since the size of C is as small as possible, we deduce that G/N is soluble, a contradiction with (1).

Let A be a quasicyclic p-subgroup of C for some prime p. We claim that

(3) $[G, A] = G$.

Indeed, $[G, A]A$ is a normal φ-invariant subgroup of G having an infinite intersection with C. Now (2) implies that $[G, A]A = G$. Putting this together with $G = G'$ we conclude that $[G, A] = G$.

We will use the fact that if $x, y \in A$, then necessarily either $\langle x\rangle \leqslant \langle y\rangle$ or $\langle y\rangle \leqslant \langle x\rangle$. It follows that

(4) for any finite subset S of A we can choose $a \in S$ such that $[N, S] = [N, a]$ for any normal subgroup N.

Let T be a finite subset of G. Since $G = [G, A]$, every element of T can be written as a product of finitely many commutators $[g_i, a_i]$ with $g_i \in G, a_i \in A$. In turn, each of the elements g_i can be written as a similar product of $[h_j, b_j]$ with $h_j \in G$ and $b_j \in A$. Let S be the set of all a_i that appear in the commutators $[g_i, a_i]$ united with the set of all b_j that appear in $[h_j, b_j]$. It is obvious that $T \subseteq [G, S, S]$. Thus, we derive from (4) that

(5) for any finite subset T of G there exists $a \in A$ such that $T \subseteq [G, a, a]$.

Since the group G is locally nilpotent,

(6) $[G, g]$ is a proper subgroup for every $g \in G$.

This property may be well known, but we could not find a reference, so we give a short proof here. Namely, we claim that $g \notin [G, g]$ if $g \neq 1$. Indeed, otherwise g is equal to a product of several commutators $[g, h_i]$ for finitely many elements $h_i \in G$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Substituting the same expression for g into these commutators we obtain that g is a product of commutators of weight at least 3 each involving the element g. Repeatedly substituting in similar fashion expressions for g we eventually obtain an expression for g

as a product of commutators in the same elements $g, h_1, \dots, h_k$ of weight exceeding the nilpotency class of the finitely generated subgroup $\langle g, h_1, \dots, h_k \rangle$, so that $g = 1$.

We now consider the subgroup $[G, a]$ for $a \in A$. By (6) this is a proper normal subgroup of G, which is obviously also φ-invariant. So we conclude from (2) that $[G, a]$ has finite intersection with $C_G(\varphi)$ for any $a \in A$. Therefore by Theorem 1.2 we obtain that $[G, a]$ contains a characteristic subgroup K_a of finite index that is soluble with derived length at most d, where d is independent of a. Note that both $[G, a]$ and K_a are A-invariant. Since $[G, a]/K_a$ is finite and since A has no subgroups of finite index, it follows that A centralizes the section $[G, a]/K_a$. Thus,

(7) $[[G, a], A] \leqslant K_a$ for every $a \in A$.

Combining this with (5), we deduce that for an arbitrary finite subset T of G there exists $a \in A$ such that $T \subseteq K_a$. Therefore any finite subset of G generates a soluble subgroup with derived length at most d. It follows that G is soluble of derived length at most d. This contradicts (1). The proof is complete. □

References

[1] A. O. Asar, The solution of a problem of Kegel and Wehrfritz, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **45** (1982), 337–364.

[2] P. Fong, On orders of finite groups and centralizers of p-elements, *Osaka J. Math.* **13** (1976), 483–489.

[3] B. Hartley, Fixed points of automorphisms of certain locally finite groups and Chevalley groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **37** (1988), 421–436.

[4] B. Hartley, A general Brauer–Fowler theorem and centralizers in locally finite groups, *Pacific J. Math.* **152**, no. 1 (1992), 101–117.

[5] B. Hartley and T. Meixner, Finite soluble groups containing an element of prime order whose centralizer is small, *Arch. Math.* (*Basel*) **36** (1981), 211–213.

[6] B. Hartley and V. Turau, Finite soluble groups admitting an automorphism of prime power order with few fixed points, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **102**, no. 3 (1987), 431–441.

[7] G. Higman, Groups and rings which have automorphisms without non-trivial fixed elements, *J. London Math. Soc.* **32** (1957), 321–334.

[8] O. H. Kegel and B. A. F. Wehrfritz, Strong finiteness conditions in locally groups, *Math. Z.* **117** (1970), 309–324.

[9] O. H. Kegel and B. A. F. Wehrfritz, *Locally finite groups*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.

[10] E. I. Khukhro, Finite p-groups admitting an automorphism of order p with a small number of fixed points, *Mat. Zametki* **38** (1985), 652–657; English transl., *Math. Notes* **38** (1986), 867–870.

[11] E. I. Khukhro, Groups and Lie rings admitting an almost regular automorphism of prime order, *Mat. Sb.* **181**, no. 9 (1990), 1207–1219; English transl., *Math. USSR–Sbornik* **71**, no. 9 (1992), 51–63.

[12] E. I. Khukhro and N. Yu. Makarenko, Large characteristic subgroups satisfying multilinear commutator identities, *J. London Math. Soc.* **45** (2007), 635–646.

[13] E. I. Khukhro, N. Yu. Makarenko, and P. Shumyatsky, Finite groups and Lie rings with an automorphism of order 2^n, `arXiv:1409.7807`.

[14] L. Kovács, Groups with regular automorphisms of order four, *Math. Z.* **75**, (1960/1961), 277–294.

[15] V. A. Kreknin, The solubility of Lie algebras with regular automorphisms of finite period, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **150** (1963), 467–469; English transl., *Math. USSR Doklady* **4** (1963), 683–685.

[16] V. A. Kreknin and A. I. Kostrikin, Lie algebras with regular automorphisms, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **149** (1963), 249–251 (Russian); English transl., *Math. USSR–Doklady* **4**, 355–358.

[17] H. Kurzweil, p-Automorphismen von auflösbaren p'-Gruppen, *Math. Z.* **120** (1971), 326–354.

[18] N. Yu. Makarenko, Finite 2-groups admitting an automorphism of order 4 with few fixed points, *Algebra Logika* **32**, no. 4 (1993), 402–427; Engl. transl. in *Algebra Logic* **32**, no. 4 (1993), 215–230.

[19] N. Yu. Makarenko and E. I. Khukhro, Finite groups with an almost regular automorphism of order four, *Algebra Logika* **45** (2006), 575–602; English transl., *Algebra Logic* **45** (2006), 326–343.

[20] P. Shumyatsky, On locally finite groups admitting an automorphism of prime order whose centralizer is Chernikov, *Houston J. Math.* **20** (1994), 187–192.
[21] P. Shumyatsky, On the centralizer of an element of order four in a locally finite group, *Glasgow Math. J.* **49** (2007), 411–415.
[22] P. Shumyatsky, Locally finite groups with an automorphism whose centralizer is small, *Quaderni di Matematica* (*Napoli*) **8** (2001), 278–296.
[23] V. P. Shunkov, On the minimality problem for locally finite groups, *Algebra Logika* **9** (1970), 220–248; English transl., *Algebra Logic* **9** (1970), 137–151.
[24] J. Thompson, Finite groups with fixed-point-free automorphosms of prime order, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **45** (1959), 578–581.
[25] J. Thompson, Automorphisms of solvable groups, *J. Algebra* **1** (1964), 259–267.
[26] V. Turau, Zentralisatoren in lokal-endlichen Gruppen vom Chevalley-Typ, *Arch. Math.* **44** (1985), 297–308.
[27] A. Turull, Fitting height of groups and of fixed points, *J. Algebra* **86** (1984), 555–566.

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, 630 090, Russia,
and University of Lincoln, U.K.
E-mail address: khukhro@yahoo.co.uk

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, 630 090, Russia
E-mail address: natalia_makarenko@yahoo.fr

Department of Mathematics, University of Brasilia, DF 70910-900, Brazil
E-mail address: pavel@unb.br